arXiv:1104.1906v2 [math.NT] 17 Jul 2012

Sums of products of Ramanujan sums

László Tóth [*]

Department of Mathematics, University of Pécs
Ifjúság u. 6, H-7624 Pécs, Hungary
and
Institute of Mathematics, Department of Integrative Biology
Universität für Bodenkultur, Gregor Mendel-Straße 33, A-1180 Wien, Austria
E-mail: ltoth@gamma.ttk.pte.hu

Annali dell' Universita di Ferrara, Volume 58, Number 1 (2012), 183-197

Abstract

The Ramanujan sum $c_n(k)$ is defined as the sum of k-th powers of the primitive n-th roots of unity. We investigate arithmetic functions of r variables defined as certain sums of the products $c_{m_1}(g_1(k))\cdots c_{m_r}(g_r(k))$, where $g_1,\ldots,g_r$ are polynomials with integer coefficients. A modified orthogonality relation of the Ramanujan sums is also derived.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25, 11A07, 11N37

Key Words and Phrases: Ramanujan sum, arithmetic function of several variables, multiplicative function, simultaneous congruences, even function, Cauchy convolution, average order

1 Introduction

Let $c_n(k)$ denote, as usual, the Ramanujan sum defined as the sum of k-th powers of the primitive n-th roots of unity ($k\in\mathbb{Z}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}:=\{1,2,\ldots\}$), i.e.,

$$c_n(k) := \sum_{\substack{j=1\\ \gcd(j,n)=1}}^{n} \exp(2\pi ijk/n), \tag{1}$$

which can be expressed as

$$c_n(k) = \sum_{d\mid \gcd(k,n)} d\mu(n/d), \tag{2}$$

where μ is the Möbius function. It follows from (2) that all values of $c_n(k)$ are integers. The sums $c_n(k)$ enjoy the properties

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_n(k) = \begin{cases} 1, & n=1,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise,}\end{cases} \tag{3}$$

---

[*] The author gratefully acknowledges support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) under the project Nr. P20847-N18.

$$\frac{1}{\operatorname{lcm}(\ell,n)} \sum_{k=1}^{\operatorname{lcm}(\ell,n)} c_\ell(k) c_n(k) = \begin{cases} \phi(n), & \ell = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where ϕ is Euler's totient function. Ramanujan [9] derived pointwise convergent series representations of arithmetic functions $f\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{C}$ with respect to the sums (1), of the form

$$f(k) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n) c_n(k) \qquad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

with certain coefficients $a_f(n)$, allowed by the orthogonality formula (4). See also the book of Schwarz and Spilker [10] and the recent survey paper of Lucht [4].

Ramanujan sums play also an important role in the proof of Vinogradov's theorem concerning the number of representations of an odd integer as the sum of three primes. See, e.g., Nathanson [6, Ch. 8].

Let $m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$) and $m := \operatorname{lcm}(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$, throughout the paper. The function

$$E(m_1, \ldots, m_r) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{m_1}(k) \cdots c_{m_r}(k) \tag{5}$$

has combinatorial and topological applications, and was investigated in the recent papers of Liskovets [3] and of the author [12]. Note that all values of $E(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ are nonnegative integers. Furthermore, the function E is multiplicative as a function of several variables (see Section 2 for the definition of this concept). Remark that in the case of one and two variables (5) reduces to (3) and (4), respectively.

Recall the following identity, which is due to Cohen [2, Cor. 7.2],

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^{n} c_n(k-a) = \mu(n) c_n(a) \qquad (n \in \mathbb{N}, a \in \mathbb{Z}). \tag{6}$$

These suggest to consider the following generalizations of (5) and (6). Let $G = (g_1, \ldots, g_r)$ be a system of polynomials with integer coefficients and let define

$$E_G(m_1, \ldots, m_r) := \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{m_1}(g_1(k)) \cdots c_{m_r}(g_r(k)), \tag{7}$$

$$R_G(m_1, \ldots, m_r) := \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,m)=1}}^{m} c_{m_1}(g_1(k)) \cdots c_{m_r}(g_r(k)), \tag{8}$$

where we can assume that $m_i > 1$ ($1 \le i \le r$), since $c_1(k) = 1$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If $g_1(x) = \ldots = g_r(x) = x$, then (7) reduces to the function (5). In the one variable case, i.e., $r = 1$ and selecting the linear polynomial $g_1(x) = x - a$, (8) gives the sum in (6).

Consider also the sum

$$T_a(m_1, \ldots, m_r) := \sum_{\substack{k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1}, \ell \pmod{m} \\ \gcd(\ell,m)=1}} c_{m_1}(k_1) \cdots c_{m_{r-1}}(k_{r-1}) c_{m_r}(k_1 + \ldots + k_{r-1} + \ell - a), \tag{9}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, representing another arithmetic function of r variables, which reduces to the sum of (6) for $r = 1$.

In this paper we evaluate the functions E_G, R_G, T_a, show that they are all multiplicative and investigate some special cases, including the function

$$R(m_1, \ldots, m_r) := \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,m)=1}}^{m} c_{m_1}(k-1) \cdots c_{m_r}(k-1), \tag{10}$$

obtained from (8) by selecting $g_1(x) = \ldots = g_r(x) = x - 1$.

In Section 6 we derive a modified orthogonality relation of the Ramanujan sums by evaluating the function T_a.

Similar results as those of the present paper, with $\gcd(g(k), m_i)$ instead of $c_{m_i}(g(k))$ ($1 \le i \le r$) in (7) and (8), generalizing Menon's identity, are presented in [13].

2 Preliminaries

We recall that an arithmetic function of r variables is a function $f : \mathbb{N}^r \to \mathbb{C}$, denoted by $f \in \mathcal{F}_r$. The function f is called multiplicative if it is nonzero and

$$f(m_1 n_1, \ldots, m_r n_r) = f(m_1, \ldots, m_r) f(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$$

holds for any $m_1, \ldots, m_r, n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\gcd(m_1 \cdots m_r, n_1 \cdots n_r) = 1$.

If f is multiplicative, then it is determined by the values $f(p^{a_1}, \ldots, p^{a_r})$, where p is prime and $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. More exactly, $f(1, \ldots, 1) = 1$ and for any $m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(m_1, \ldots, m_r) = \prod_p f(p^{e_p(m_1)}, \ldots, p^{e_p(m_r)}),$$

where $m_i = \prod_p p^{e_p(m_i)}$ are the prime power factorizations of m_i ($1 \le i \le r$), the products are over the primes p and all but a finite number of the exponents $e_p(m_i)$ are zero.

If $r = 1$, i.e., in the case of functions of a single variable we reobtain the familiar notion of multiplicativity.

For example, the functions $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto \gcd(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ and $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto \operatorname{lcm}(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ are multiplicative for every $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

The product and the quotient of (nonvanishing) multiplicative functions are multiplicative. Let $h \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}_r$ be multiplicative functions. Then the functions $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto h(m_1) \cdots h(m_r)$ and $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto h(f(m_1, \ldots, m_r))$ are multiplicative. In particular, $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto h(\gcd(m_1, \ldots, m_r))$ and $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto h(\operatorname{lcm}(m_1, \ldots, m_r))$ are multiplicative.

If $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_r$, then their convolution is defined by

$$(f * g)(m_1, \ldots, m_r) := \sum_{d_1 \mid m_1, \ldots, d_r \mid m_r} f(d_1, \ldots, d_r) g(m_1/d_1, \ldots, m_r/d_r). \tag{11}$$

The convolution (11) preserves the multiplicativity of functions. For these and further properties of arithmetic functions of several variables we refer to [11, 15].

Let $G = (g_1, \ldots, g_r)$ be a system of polynomials with integer coefficients and consider the simultaneous congruences

$$g_1(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_1}, \ldots, g_r(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m_r}. \tag{12}$$

Let $N_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r)$ denote the number of solutions $x \pmod{\operatorname{lcm}(m_1,\ldots,m_r)}$ of (12). Furthermore, let $\eta_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r)$ denote the number of solutions $x \pmod{\operatorname{lcm}(m_1,\ldots,m_r)}$ of (12) such that $\gcd(x,m_1)=1$, ..., $\gcd(x,m_r)=1$.

Lemma 1. ([13, Lemma 1]) *For every system $G=(g_1,\ldots,g_r)$ of polynomials with integer coefficients the functions $(m_1,\ldots,m_r)\mapsto N_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r)$ and $(m_1,\ldots,m_r)\mapsto \eta_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r)$ are multiplicative.*

We also need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2. (see e.g., [8, Th. 10.4]) *For every $d_1,\ldots,d_r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $a_1,\ldots,a_r\in\mathbb{Z}$ the simultaneous congruences*

$$x\equiv a_1 \ (mod\ d_1), ..., x\equiv a_r \ (mod\ d_r)$$

admit a solution in x if and only if $\gcd(d_i,d_j)\mid a_i-a_j$ $(1\le i,j\le r)$, and in this case there is a unique solution x (mod $\operatorname{lcm}(d_1,\ldots,d_r)$).

Lemma 3. ([1, Th. 5.32]) *Let $n,d,x\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $d\mid n$, $1\le x\le d$, $\gcd(x,d)=1$. Then*

$$\#\{k\in\mathbb{N}: 1\le k\le n, k\equiv x \pmod d, \gcd(k,n)=1\}=\phi(n)/\phi(d).$$

Lemma 4. (Brauer-Rademacher identity, cf. [5, Ch. 2]) *For every $n,k\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{d\mid n,\gcd(d,k)=1}\frac{d\mu(n/d)}{\phi(d)}=\frac{\mu(n)c_n(k)}{\phi(n)}. \tag{13}$$

Finally we present some concepts and results concerning s-even functions, to be applied in Section 6 involving the function T_a. Usually the term r-even function, or even function (mod r) is used in the literature, see [2, 5, 10, 11, 14], but we replace here r by s.

A function $f\in\mathcal{F}_1$ is said to be an s-even function if $f(\gcd(n,s))=f(n)$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$, where $s\in\mathbb{N}$ is fixed. Then f is s-periodic, i.e., $f(n+s)=f(n)$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and this periodicity extends f to a function defined on $\mathbb{Z}$.

If f is s-even, then it has a (Ramanujan-)Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(n)=\sum_{d\mid s}\alpha_f(d)c_d(n) \qquad (n\in\mathbb{N}),$$

where the (Ramanujan-)Fourier coefficients $\alpha_f(d)$ are uniquely determined and given by

$$\alpha_f(d)=\frac{1}{s}\sum_{e\mid s}f(e)c_{s/e}(s/d).$$

The Cauchy convolution of the s-even functions f and g is given by

$$(f\otimes g)(n):=\sum_{k \pmod s}f(k)g(n-k) \qquad (n\in\mathbb{N}), \tag{14}$$

$f\otimes g$ is again s-even and $\alpha_{f\otimes g}(d)=s\alpha_f(d)\alpha_g(d)$ for every $d\mid s$.

Lemma 5. ([2, Th. 6]) *If f is s-even, then for every $a\in\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1\\ \gcd(k,s)=1}}^{s}f(a-k)=\phi(s)\sum_{d\mid s}\frac{\alpha_f(d)\mu(d)}{\phi(d)}c_d(a), \tag{15}$$

For $f(k)=c_s(k)$ $(k\in\mathbb{N})$, which is s-even, formula (15) reduces to (6), with n replaced by s. See also [5, Ch. 2].

3 The function E_G

Here we consider the function E_G defined by (7).

Theorem 1. *If G is an arbitrary system of polynomials with integer coefficients, then for any $m_1,\dots,m_r\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$E_G(m_1,\dots,m_r)=\sum_{d_1\mid m_1,\dots,d_r\mid m_r}\frac{d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)\cdots d_r\mu(m_r/d_r)}{\operatorname{lcm}(d_1,\dots,d_r)}N_G(d_1,\dots,d_r). \tag{16}$$

Proof. Using formula (2) we obtain

$$E_G(m_1,\dots,m_r)=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{m}\sum_{d_1\mid\gcd(g_1(k),m_1)}d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)\dots\sum_{d_r\mid\gcd(g_r(k),m_r)}d_r\mu(m_r/d_r)$$

$$=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{d_1\mid m_1,\dots,d_r\mid m_r}d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)\cdots d_r\mu(m_r/d_r)\sum_{\substack{1\le k\le m\\ g_1(k)\equiv 0 \pmod{d_1},\dots,g_r(k)\equiv 0 \pmod{d_r}}}1,$$

where the inner sum is $(m/\operatorname{lcm}(d_1,\dots,d_r))N_G(d_1,\dots,d_r)$. □

Corollary 1. *If G is any system of polynomials with integer coefficients, then the function $(m_1,\dots,m_r)\mapsto E_G(m_1,\dots,m_r)$ is integer valued and multiplicative.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 the function E_G is the convolution of multiplicative functions, hence it is multiplicative. It is integer valued since each term of the sum in (16) is an integer. □

Corollary 2. *For every $m_1,\dots,m_r\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_r)\in\mathbb{Z}^r$,*

$$E_{(\mathbf{a})}(m_1,\dots,m_r):=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{m}c_{m_1}(k-a_1)\cdots c_{m_r}(k-a_r)$$

$$=\sum_{d_1\mid m_1,\dots,d_r\mid m_r}\frac{d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)\cdots d_r\mu(m_r/d_r)}{\operatorname{lcm}(d_1,\dots,d_r)}N_{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1,\dots,d_r),$$

where

$$N_{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1,\dots,d_r)=\begin{cases}1, & \text{if } \gcd(d_i,d_j)\mid a_i-a_j\ (1\le i,j\le r),\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 1 in the case $g_1(x)=x-a_1,\dots g_r(x)=x-a_r$, $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\dots,a_r)\in\mathbb{Z}^r$ and Lemma 2. □

Corollary 3. *For every $m_1,m_2\in\mathbb{N}$ with $m:=\operatorname{lcm}(m_1,m_2)$ and every $a_1,a_2\in\mathbb{Z}$,*

$$E_{(a_1,a_2)}(m_1,m_2):=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{k=1}^{m}c_{m_1}(k-a_1)c_{m_r}(k-a_2)$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{d_1\mid m_1,d_2\mid m_2\\ \gcd(d_1,d_2)\mid a_1-a_2}}\gcd(d_1,d_2)\mu(m_1/d_1)\mu(m_2/d_2). \tag{17}$$

Furthermore, if $|a_1 - a_2| = 1$*, then*

$$E_{(a_1,a_2)}(m_1, m_2) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\omega(m)}, & \text{if } m_1 = m_2 = m \text{ is squarefree,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{18}$$

$\omega(m)$ *denoting the number of distinct prime factors of* m*.*

Proof. Formula (17) follows from Corollary 2 applied for $r = 2$. Assume that $|a_1 - a_2| = 1$. Then (17) gives

$$E_{(a_1,a_2)}(m_1, m_2) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 | m_1, d_2 | m_2 \\ \gcd(d_1,d_2)=1}} \mu(m_1/d_1)\mu(m_2/d_2),$$

and we deduce that for any prime p and any $u, v \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$E_{(a_1,a_2)}(p^u, p^v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = v = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } u = v = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since the function $(m_1, m_2) \mapsto E_{(a_1,a_2)}(m_1, m_2)$ is multiplicative, this leads to (18). ☐

For the function E given by (5) we deduce from Corollary 2 the next formula by selecting $a_1 = \ldots = a_r = 0$.

Corollary 4. ([12, Prop. 3]) *For every* $m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$*,*

$$E(m_1, \ldots m_r) = \sum_{d_1 | m_1, \ldots, d_r | m_r} \frac{d_1 \mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots d_r \mu(m_r/d_r)}{\operatorname{lcm}(d_1, \ldots, d_r)}.$$

For other special choices of the polynomials $g_1, \ldots, g_r$ similar results can be derived if the values $N_G(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$ are known. We give the following simple example.

Corollary 5. *For every* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *write* $n = 2^j m$ *with* $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ *and* m *odd. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} c_n(k^2 - 1) = \begin{cases} c_j \neq 0, & \text{if } j \in \{0, 2, 3\} \text{ and } m \text{ is squarefree,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

where $c_0 = c_2 = 1$, $c_3 = 2$.

Proof. Apply formula (16) in the case $r = 1$, $g_1(x) = x^2 - 1$. For the number $N(p^a)$ of solutions of the congruence $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p^a}$ it is known (see eg., [7, Ch. 3]), that $N(p^a) = 2$ (p odd prime, $a \in \mathbb{N}$), $N(2) = 1$, $N(4) = 2$, $N(2^\ell) = 4$ ($\ell \geq 3$). Now, (19) is obtained by using the multiplicativity of the involved functions. ☐

4 The function R_G

Consider now the integer valued function R_G defined by (8).

Theorem 2. *If G is an arbitrary system of polynomials with integer coefficients, then for any $m_1,\ldots,m_r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$R_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r) = \phi(m) \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ldots,d_r|m_r} \frac{d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)\cdots d_r\mu(m_r/d_r)}{\phi(\operatorname{lcm}(d_1,\ldots,d_r))}\eta_G(d_1,\ldots,d_r). \tag{20}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 1, we write

$$\begin{aligned} R_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r) &= \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ \gcd(k,m)=1}}^{m} \sum_{d_1|\gcd(g_1(k),m_1)} d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)\ldots \sum_{d_r|\gcd(g_r(k),m_r)} d_r\mu(m_r/d_r) \\ &= \sum_{d_1|m_1,\ldots,d_r|m_r} d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)\cdots d_r\mu(m_r/d_r) \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le m,\gcd(k,m)=1,\\ g_1(k)\equiv 0 \pmod{d_1},\ldots,g_r(k)\equiv 0 \pmod{d_r}}} 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the inner sum is $(\phi(m)/\phi(\operatorname{lcm}(d_1,\ldots,d_r)))\eta_G(d_1,\ldots,d_r)$ by Lemma 3. ☐

Corollary 6. *If G is any system of polynomials with integer coefficients, then the function $(m_1,\ldots,m_r) \mapsto R_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r)$ is multiplicative. In particular, in the one variable case (with $r=1$, $g_1=g$, $\eta_G=\eta_g$ and $m_1=m$) the function of a single variable*

$$R_g(m) := \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ \gcd(k,m)=1}}^{m} c_m(g(k)) = \phi(m)\sum_{d|m}\frac{d\mu(m/d)}{\phi(d)}\eta_g(d), \tag{21}$$

is multiplicative.

Proof. By Theorem 2 and Lemma 1 the function R_G is the convolution of multiplicative functions, hence it is multiplicative. ☐

Corollary 7. *Assume that $g_1=\ldots=g_r=g$ and $m_1,\ldots,m_r\in\mathbb{N}$ are pairwise relatively prime. Then*

$$R_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r) = R_g(m)$$

Proof. For any $d_1 \mid m_1,\ldots,d_r \mid m_r$ we have $\eta_G(\operatorname{lcm}(d_1,\ldots,d_r)) = \eta_g(d_1\cdots d_r) = \eta_g(d_1)\cdots\eta_g(d_r)$ and we obtain from (20) that

$$\begin{aligned} R_G(m_1,\ldots,m_r) &= \phi(m_1)\sum_{d_1|m_1}\frac{d_1\mu(m_1/d_1)}{\phi(d_1)}\eta_g(d_1)\cdots\phi(m_r)\sum_{d_r|m_r}\frac{d_r\mu(m_r/d_r)}{\phi(d_r)}\eta_g(d_r) \\ &= R_g(m_1)\cdots R_g(m_r) = R_g(m), \end{aligned}$$

by the multiplicativity of the function (21). ☐

Corollary 8. *For every $m_1,\ldots,m_r\in\mathbb{N}$ and every $\mathbf{a}=(a_1,\ldots,a_r)\in\mathbb{Z}^r$,*

$$R_{(\mathbf{a})}(m_1,\ldots,m_r) := \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ \gcd(k,m)=1}}^{m} c_{m_1}(k-a_1)\cdots c_{m_r}(k-a_r)$$

$$= \phi(m) \sum_{d_1 \mid m_1, \ldots, d_r \mid m_r} \frac{d_1 \mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots d_r \mu(m_r/d_r)}{\phi(\operatorname{lcm}(d_1, \ldots, d_r))} \eta_{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \ldots, d_r),$$

where

$$\eta_{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \ldots, d_r) := \begin{cases} 1, & \textit{if } \gcd(d_i, a_i) = 1 \ (1 \le i \le r), \\ & \quad \textit{and } \gcd(d_i, d_j) \mid a_i - a_j \ (1 \le i, j \le r), \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

Proof. This is the special case $g_1(x) = x - a_1, \ldots, g_r(x) = x - a_r$ of Theorem 2. We use Lemma 2 again, with the observation that if x is a solution of the simultaneous congruences $x \equiv a_1 \pmod{d_1}$,..., $x \equiv a_r \pmod{d_r}$, then $\gcd(x, d_1) = \gcd(a_1, d_1)$, ..., $\gcd(x, d_r) = \gcd(a_r, d_r)$. Hence we obtain for the values of $\eta_{(\mathbf{a})}(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$ formula (22). □

Corollary 9. *If $m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ are pairwise relatively prime, then*

$$R_{(\mathbf{a})}(m_1, \ldots, m_r) = \mu(m) c_{m_1}(a_1) \cdots c_{m_r}(a_r). \tag{23}$$

Proof. If $m_1, \ldots, m_r$ are pairwise relatively prime and $d_1 \mid m_1, \ldots, d_r \mid m_r$, then $\gcd(d_i, d_j) = 1$ for any $i \ne j$ and we obtain from Corollary 8,

$$R_{\mathbf{a}}(m_1, \ldots, m_r) = \phi(m_1) \sum_{\substack{d_1 \mid m_1 \\ \gcd(d_1, a_1) = 1}} \frac{d_1 \mu(m_1/d_1)}{\phi(d_1)} \cdots \phi(m_r) \sum_{\substack{d_r \mid m_r \\ \gcd(d_r, a_r) = 1}} \frac{d_r \mu(m_r/d_r)}{\phi(d_r)}$$

$$= \mu(m_1) c_{m_1}(a_1) \cdots \mu(m_r) c_{m_r}(a_1) = \mu(m) c_{m_1}(a_1) \cdots c_{m_r}(a_r),$$

by the Brauer-Rademacher identity (13). □

Here (23) is an extension of Cohen's formula (6) for several variables. Note that in the case $a_1 = \ldots = a_r = a$ the right hand side of (23) is $\mu(m) c_m(a)$.

Corollary 10. *If $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m = \operatorname{lcm}(m_1, m_2)$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$R_{(a_1, a_2)}(m_1, m_2) := \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k, m) = 1}}^{m} c_{m_1}(k - a_1) c_{m_2}(k - a_2)$$

$$= \phi(m) \sum_{\substack{d_1 \mid m_1, d_2 \mid m_2 \\ \gcd(d_1, a_1) = 1, \gcd(d_2, a_2) = 1 \\ \gcd(d_1, d_2) \mid a_1 - a_2}} \frac{d_1 \mu(m_1/d_1) d_2 \mu(m_2/d_2)}{\phi(\operatorname{lcm}(d_1, d_2))}. \tag{24}$$

Furthermore, if $\gcd(a_1, m_1) = \gcd(a_2, m_2) = 1$ and $|a_1 - a_2| = 1$, then

$$R_{(a_1, a_2)}(m_1, m_2) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\omega(\gcd(m_1, m_2))} \psi(\gcd(m_1, m_2)), & \textit{if } m_1 \textit{ and } m_2 \textit{ are squarefree,} \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

where $\psi(n) = n \prod_{p \mid n} (1 + 1/p)$ is the Dedekind function.

Proof. Apply Corollary 8 in the case $r = 2$. Assuming that $\gcd(a_1, m_1) = \gcd(a_2, m_2) = 1$ and $|a_1 - a_2| = 1$ we deduce from (24) that for any prime powers p^u, p^v $(u, v \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$,

$$R_{(a_1,a_2)}(p^u, p^v) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = v = 0 \text{ or } u = 1,\ v = 0 \text{ or } u = 0,\ v = 1, \\ -(p+1), & \text{if } u = v = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

leading to (25) by using the multiplicativity of the function $(m_1, m_2) \mapsto R_{(a_1,a_2)}(m_1, m_2)$. □

We remark that other special systems G can be considered too. As a further example, we give the following one ($r = 1$, $g_1(x) = x^2 - 1$). Its proof is similar to that of Corollary 5.

Corollary 11. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ write $n = 2^j m$ with $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and m odd. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,n)=1}}^{n} c_n(k^2 - 1) = \begin{cases} d_j \psi(m), & \textit{if } j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \textit{ and } m \textit{ is squarefree,} \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $d_0 = d_1 = 1$, $d_2 = 4$, $d_3 = 16$.

5 The function R

In this section we investigate the multiplicative function R defined by (10).

Corollary 12. *The function R can be represented for arbitrary $m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ as*

$$R(m_1, \ldots, m_r) = \phi(m) \sum_{d_1 | m_1, \ldots, d_r | m_r} \frac{d_1 \mu(m_1/d_1) \cdots d_r \mu(m_r/d_r)}{\phi(\operatorname{lcm}(d_1, \ldots, d_r))}. \tag{26}$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 8 by choosing $a_1 = \ldots = a_r = 1$. □

The values of R are determined by the next result, which is the analog of [3, Lemma 2].

Theorem 3. *Let $p^{e_1}, \ldots, p^{e_r}$ be any powers of a prime p $(e_1, \ldots, e_r \in \mathbb{N})$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $e := e_1 = e_2 = \ldots = e_s > e_{s+1} \geq e_{s+2} \geq \ldots \geq e_r \geq 1$ $(r \geq s \geq 1)$. Then*

$$R(p^{e_1}, \ldots, p^{e_r}) = \begin{cases} p^{v+e}(p-1)^{r-s+1} h_s(p), & e > 1 \\ (p-1)^r + (-1)^r (p-2), & e = 1, \end{cases}$$

where the integer v is defined by $v = \sum_{j=1}^{r} e_j - r - e + 1$ and

$$h_s(x) = \frac{(x-1)^{s-1} + (-1)^s}{x}$$

is a polynomial of degree $s - 2$ (for $s > 1$).

Proof. We do not use (26), but the definition (10) and obtain with the notation $k = 1 + dp^{e-1}$,

$$R(p^{e_1}, \ldots, p^{e_r}) = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq d \leq p-1 \\ \gcd(1+dp^{e-1}, p^e)=1}} c_{p^{e_1}}(dp^{e-1}) \cdots c_{p^{e_r}}(dp^{e-1}),$$

where all the other terms are zero, cf. proof of [3, Lemma 2]. If $e > 1$, then the condition $\gcd(1 + dp^{e-1}, p^e) = 1$ is valid for every $d \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$ and obtain

$$R(p^{e_1}, \ldots, p^{e_r}) = \sum_{0 \le d \le p-1} c_{p^{e_1}}(dp^{e-1}) \cdots c_{p^{e_r}}(dp^{e-1}) = p^e E(p^{e_1}, \ldots, p^{e_r}),$$

and use the corresponding formula for $E(p^{e_1}, \ldots, p^{e_r})$.

For $e = 1$ one has $r = s$ and

$$R(p, \ldots, p) = \sum_{0 \le d \le p-2} c_p(d) \cdots c_p(d) = \left(\sum_{1 \le d \le p-2} (-1)^r + (p-1)^r \right)$$

$$= (p-2)(-1)^r + (p-1)^r.$$

□

Corollary 13. *All values of the function R are nonnegative. Furthermore, $R(p^{e_1}, \ldots, p^{e_r}) = 0$ if and only if $e > 1$ with $s = 1$ or s odd and $p = 2$.*

Corollary 14. *For every prime p and every $e_1 \ge e_2 \ge 1$,*

$$R(p^{e_1}, p^{e_2}) = \begin{cases} 0, & e_1 > e_2 \ge 1, \\ p^{2e-1}(p-1), & e_1 = e_2 = e > 1, \\ p^2 - p - 1, & e_1 = e_2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. This the case $r = 2$ of Theorem 3. □

In the case $m_1 = \ldots = m_r = m$ let

$$g_r(m) = \frac{1}{m} R(m, \ldots, m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gcd(k,m)=1}}^{m} (c_m(k-1))^r. \tag{27}$$

The following result is the analog of [12, Prop. 11] concerning the function $f_r(m) = \frac{1}{m} E(m, \ldots, m)$.

Theorem 4. *Let $r \ge 2$. The average order of the function $g_r(m)$ is $\alpha_r m^{r-1}$, where*

$$\alpha_r := \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{x_r(p) - p^r}{p^{r+1}} + \frac{p(p-1)h_r(p) - x_r(p)}{p^{r+2}} \right),$$

$x_r(p) = (p-1)^r + (-1)^r(p-2)$ and $h_r(p)$ is defined in Theorem 2.

More exactly, for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$,

$$\sum_{m \le x} g_r(m) = \frac{\alpha_r}{r} x^r + \mathcal{O}(x^{r-1+\varepsilon}). \tag{28}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of [12, Prop. 11]. The function g_r is multiplicative and by Theorem 3 and (27), for every prime p,

$$g_r(p) = \frac{x_r(p)}{p}, \qquad g_r(p^e) = p^{(e-1)(r-1)}(p-1)h_r(p) \quad (e \ge 2).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_r(m)}{m^s} = \zeta(s-r+1) \prod_p \left(1 + \frac{a_r(p)}{p^s} + \frac{b_r(p)}{p^{2s}}\right)$$

where

$$a_r(p) = \frac{x_r(p)}{p} - p^{r-1}, \qquad b_r(p) = p^{r-1}(p-1)h_r(p) - p^{r-2}x_r(p).$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Re} s > r$, the infinite product being absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} s > r-1$. Consequently, $g_r = F_r * \mathrm{id}_{r-1}$ in terms of the Dirichlet convolution, where F_r is multiplicative and for any prime p, $F_r(p) = a_r(p)$, $F_r(p^2) = b_r(p)$, $F_r(p^k) = 0$ $(k \ge 3)$.

The asymptotic formula (28) follows by usual estimates. □

6 The function T_a

For the function T_a defined by (9) we prove the following results. The first one is a modified orthogonality relation of the Ramanujan sums.

Theorem 5. *For any $m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$T_a(m_1, \ldots, m_r) = \begin{cases} m^{r-1}\mu(m)c_m(a), & m_1 = \ldots = m_r = m, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Proof. We have

$$T_a(m_1,\ldots,m_r) = \sum_{\substack{j,\ell \pmod m \\ j+\ell \equiv a \pmod m \\ \gcd(\ell,m)=1}} \sum_{\substack{k_1,\ldots,k_{r-1},k_r \pmod m \\ k_1+\ldots+k_{r-1}+k_r \equiv j \pmod m}} c_{m_1}(k_1)\cdots c_{m_{r-1}}(k_{r-1}) c_{m_r}(k_r)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{j,\ell \pmod m \\ j+\ell \equiv a \pmod m \\ \gcd(\ell,m)=1}} \left(c_{m_1}(\centerdot) \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{m_{r-1}}(\centerdot) \otimes c_{m_r}(\centerdot)\right)(j)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\ell \pmod m \\ \gcd(\ell,m)=1}} \left(c_{m_1}(\centerdot) \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{m_{r-1}}(\centerdot) \otimes c_{m_r}(\centerdot)\right)(a-\ell) = \sum_{\substack{\ell \pmod m \\ \gcd(\ell,m)=1}} K(a-\ell),$$

where $K = c_{m_1}(\centerdot) \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{m_{r-1}}(\centerdot) \otimes c_{m_r}(\centerdot)$ is the Cauchy convolution defined by (14).

The functions $k \mapsto c_{m_i}(k)$ are m_i-even, hence also m-even, with $m := \operatorname{lcm}(m_1,\ldots,m_r)$ $(1 \le i \le r)$. Their Fourier coefficients are $\alpha_{c_{m_i}}(d) = 1$ for $d = m_i$ and 0 for $d \ne m_i$ $(d \mid m)$. We obtain that the function K is m-even and its Fourier coefficients are

$$\alpha_K(d) = \begin{cases} m^{r-1}, & \text{if } d = m_1 = \ldots m_r = m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Now applying Lemma 5 for the function K we deduce that

$$T_a(m_1,\ldots,m_r) = \phi(m) \sum_{d \mid m} \frac{\alpha_K(d)\mu(d)}{\phi(d)} c_d(a) = \begin{cases} m^{r-1}\mu(m)c_m(a), & m_1 = \ldots = m_r = m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

□

If $r = 1$, then (29) reduces to Cohen's identity (6) given in the Introduction.

Corollary 15. *For every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ the function $(m_1, \ldots, m_r) \mapsto T_a(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$ is multiplicative.*

Proof. Using the definition of the multiplicativity, let $m_1, \ldots, m_r, n_1, \ldots, n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that $\gcd(m_1 n_1, \ldots, m_r n_r) = 1$. Let $m := \operatorname{lcm}(m_1, \ldots, m_r)$, $n := \operatorname{lcm}(n_1, \ldots, n_r)$. Then $\operatorname{lcm}(m_1 n_1, \ldots, m_r n_r) = mn$, where $\gcd(m, n) = 1$ and by (29) obtain that

$$T_a(m_1 n_1, \ldots, m_r n_r) = \begin{cases} (mn)^{r-1} \mu(mn) c_{mn}(a), & m_1 n_1 = \ldots = m_r n_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} m^{r-1} \mu(m) c_m(a) n^{r-1} \mu(n) c_n(a), & m_1 = \ldots = m_r, n_1 = \ldots = n_r, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$= T_a(m_1, \ldots, m_r) T_a(n_1, \ldots, n_r).$$

□

In the case $r = 2$ the following orthogonality relation holds.

Corollary 16. *For any $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $a \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{k,\ell \pmod m \\ \gcd(\ell, m)=1}} c_{m_1}(k) c_{m_2}(k + \ell - a) = \begin{cases} \mu(m) c_m(a), & m_1 = m_2 = m, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

7 Acknowledgement

The author thanks the anonymous referee for a very careful reading of the manuscript and for many helpful suggestions on the presentation of this paper. The author thanks also Professor V. A. Liskovets for useful remarks.

References

[1] T. M. Apostol, *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1976.

[2] E. Cohen, Representations of even functions (mod r), II. Cauchy products, *Duke Math. J.* **26** (1959), 165–182.

[3] V. A. Liskovets, A multivariate arithmetic function of combinatorial and topological significance, *Integers* **10** (2010), #A12, 155–177.

[4] L. G. Lucht, A survey of Ramanujan expansions, *Int. J. Number Theory* **6** (2010), 1785–1799.

[5] P. J. McCarthy, *Introduction to Arithmetical Functions*, Universitext, Springer, 1986.

[6] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory. The Classical Bases*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 164, Springer, 1996.

[7] I. Niven, H. S. Zuckerman, H. L. Montgomery *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1991.

[8] Ø. Ore, *Number Theory and Its History*, Dover Publications, 1988.

[9] S. Ramanujan, On certain trigonometrical sums and their applications in the theory of numbers, *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **22** (1918), 259–276 (Collected Papers, Cambridge 1927, No. 21).

[10] W. Schwarz, J. Spilker, *Arithmetical Functions*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 184, Cambridge University Press, 1994.

[11] R. Sivaramakrishnan, *Classical Theory of Arithmetic Functions*, in Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 126, Marcel Dekker, 1989.

[12] L. Tóth, Some remarks on a paper of V. A. Liskovets, *Integers* **11** (2011), #A51, 14 pp.

[13] L. Tóth, Menon's identity and arithmetical sums representing functions of several variables, *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino* **69** (2011), 97–110.

[14] L. Tóth, P. Haukkanen, The discrete Fourier transform of r-even functions, *Acta Univ. Sapientiae, Mathematica* **3**, no. 1 (2011), 5–25.

[15] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, *The theory of multiplicative arithmetic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1931), 579–662.